UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

	United States of America	ı	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING	TRIAL
	v. Carlton Lewis Brown III		Case No. 1:12 CR 101	
	Defendant		Gase No. 1.12 GIV 101	
	After conducting a detention hearing trial		et, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these	facts require
	, ,	Part I – Findings	of Fact	
(1)			.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been could have been a federal offense if federal juris	
			4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b((g)(5)(B) for
	an offense for which the m	naximum sentence is death o	or life imprisonment.	
	an offense for which a max	ximum prison term of ten ye	ars or more is prescribed in:	
	U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C)	ne defendant had been conv , or comparable state or loca me of violence but involves:		escribed in 18
			tructive device or any other dangerous weapo	on
(2)	The offense described in finding or local offense.	(1) was committed while the	e defendant was on release pending trial for a	a federal, state
(3)	A period of less than 5 years has offense described in finding (1).	s elapsed since the da	te of conviction defendant's release fro	m prison for the
(4)	Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish person or the community. I furth		that no condition will reasonably assure the s ot rebutted that presumption.	safety of another
		Alternative Find	ings (A)	
(1)	There is probable cause to belie	ve that the defendant has co	ommitted an offense	
	for which a maximum priso Controlled Substances Ac under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	on term of ten years or more ot (21 U.S.C. 801 et seq.)	s is prescribed in:*	
(2)			d by finding (1) that no condition or combinati safety of the community.	on of conditions
,		Alternative Find	ings (B)	
	There is a serious risk that the d	• •		
(2)		· ·	safety of another person or the community.	
		ert II – Statement of the Re		
evidence defenda numero violatino least res reside ir	✓ a preponderance of the evident is a 23-year-old resident of Flous offenses in Florida. He is curred probation by new criminal condustrictive condition available to reach her house with an electronic monant. ✓ a preponderance of the evident is a 23-year-old. ✓ a preponderance of the evident is a 23-year-old. ✓ a preponderance of the evident is a 23-year-old. ✓ a preponderance of the evident is a 23-year-old. ✓ a preponderance of the evident is a 23-year-old. ✓ a preponderance of the evident is a 23-year-old. ✓ a preponderance of the evident is a 23-year-old. ✓ a preponderance of the evident is a 23-year-old. ✓ a preponderance of the evident is a 23-year-old. ✓ a preponderance of the evident is a 23-year-old. ✓ a preponderance of the evident is a 23-year-old. ✓ a preponderance of the evident is a 23-year-old. ✓ a preponderance of the evident is a 23-year-old. ✓ a preponderance of the evident is a 23-year-old. ✓ a preponderance of the evident is a 23-year-old. ✓ a preponderance of the evident is a 23-year-old. ✓ a preponderance of the evident is a 24-year-old. ✓ a preponderance of the evident is a 24-year-old. ✓ a preponderance of the evident is a 24-year-old. ✓ a preponderance of the evident is a 24-year-old. ✓ a preponderance of the evident is a 24-year-old. ✓ a preponderance of the evident is a 24-year-old. ✓ a preponderance of the evident is a 24-year-old. ✓ a preponderance of the evident is a 24-year-old. ✓ a preponderance of the evident is a 24-year-old. ✓ a preponderance of the evident is a 24-year-old. ✓ a preponderance of the evident is a 24-year-old. ✓ a preponderance of the evident is a 24-year-old. ✓ a preponderance of the evident is a 24-year-old. ✓ a preponderance of the evident is a 24-year-old. ✓ a preponderance of the evident is a 24-year-old. ✓ a preponderance of the evident is a 24-year-old. ✓ a preponderance of the evident is a 24-year-old. ✓ a preponderance of the evident is a 24-year-old. ✓ a preponderance of the evident is a 24-year-old. ✓ a prepond	dence that: orida. He has no job and no ently on probation for burgla uct. He has no stable reside sonably assure appearance onitor, under stringent super	ntion hearing establishes by clear and continuous stable history of employment. He has been any and aggravated battery and was recently ence. At the hearing, the court found that deep unless defendant were allowed by his grant vision conditions. The Pretrial Services Office with her. Detention is therefore ordered.	charged with y found guilty of etention is the admother to
		Part III – Directions Rega	arding Detention	
correction appeal. States Co	ns facility separate, to the extent p The defendant must be afforded a purt or on request of an attorney for to the United States marshal for	custody of the Attorney Ger oracticable, from persons awa reasonable opportunity to co or the Government, the personal court appearance.	neral or a designated representative for confi- vaiting or serving sentences or held in custod consult privately with defense counsel. On or son in charge of the corrections facility must o	ly pending rder of United
Date:	June 15, 2012	Judae's Sianature:	/s/ Joseph G. Scoville	

Name and Title: Joseph G. Scoville, U.S. Magistrate Judge